Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority Adams Field Water Reclamation Facility NPDES Permit No.: AR 0021806 AFIN Number 60-00409

Chronic Biomonitoring Report for August 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Description	<u>Page No.</u>
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Plant Operations	3
III.	Sources of Effluent and Dilution Waters	6
IV.	Test Methods	10
V.	Test Organisms	13
VI.	Quality Assurance	15
VII.	Results	16
VIII.	Appendices	
	A. ADEQ Report Forms	
	B. Huther and Associates Quality Assurance Report	
	C. Huther and Associates, Inc.'s Report	

SECTION I INTRODUCTION

1. Permit Number

The NPDES permit number for the Adams Field Water Reclamation Facility is AR0021806. This facility is a publicly owned treatment works operated by Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority.

2. Toxicity Testing Requirements of Permit

Quarterly Whole Effluent Toxicity monitoring for two test species. They are:

- Chronic static renewal 7-day survival and reproduction test using <u>Ceriodaphnia</u> <u>dubia</u> (Method 1002.0).
- Chronic static renewal 7-day larval survival and growth test using fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) (Method 1000.0).
- 3. Plant Location


The Adams facility is located at 1001 Temple Street in Little Rock which is on the southwest side of the Arkansas River just east of Little Rock's Adams Field Municipal Airport. (See page 2 for vicinity map location.)

4. Name of Receiving Water Body

Arkansas River

5. Contract Laboratory (If the Tests are Performed Under Contract)

Huther and Associates, Inc. 1156 North Bonnie Brae Denton, Texas 76201 Telephone: (940)387-1025



SECTION II PLANT OPERATIONS

1. Product(s)

Treated effluent from a publicly owned treatment works that receives municipal sewage.

2. Raw Materials

Raw sewage sources are mainly domestic from household waste, pretreated industrial waste with some contributions from commercial sources.

3. Operating Schedule

The Water Reclamation Facility receives and subsequently discharges flow at a continuous rate. The Water Reclamation Facility is staffed twenty-four hours a day by one operator or shift supervisor. During the day shift, Monday - Friday, one extra relief crew is on duty as well as the Facility Superintendent.

4. Description of Waste Treatment

<u>Preliminary Treatment.</u> All incoming municipal sewage enters a screen chamber with 3/8 inch openings for screening followed by flow measurement.

<u>Primary Treatment.</u> All Flow from the preliminary treatment units is treated in the primary clarifiers. Primary Treatment includes grit and scum removal which returns to the preliminary treatment building for disposal.

<u>Secondary Treatments</u>. The treatment works has a complete-mix activated sludge process for secondary treatment.

Disinfection. The final effluent is UV disinfected prior to discharge to the Arkansas River.

<u>Solids Handling and Disposal.</u> The main sources of solids are: 1) primary sludge, and 2) waste activated sludge. The waste activated and primary sludges are transferred to the Fourche Creek Water Reclamation Facility. All sludges are processed in gravity sludge thickeners or a gravity belt thickener prior to transfer to anaerobic digesters. The digested sludge is pumped to biosolids, storage lagoons and ultimately disposed of through approved land application methods.

5. Schematic of Waste Treatment

See page 5 for plant schematics.

6. Retention Time (If Applicable)

Retention times at design flow:

Primary Treatment	2 hours
Activated Sludge Process	6 hours
A.S.P. Final Tanks	
UV Disinfection	Instantaneous
PAA Supplemental Disinfection	7.2 min


7. Volume of Waste Flow

The Adams Field Plant's effluent flows during the biomonitoring sampling event were:

Date	Flow, MGD
08/09/20 - 08/10/20	12.88
08/11/20 - 08/12/20	14.64
08/13/20 - 08/14/20	16.37

8. Design Flow of Treatment Facility at Time of Sampling

36 MGD



Page 5 of 16

SECTION III SOURCE OF EFFLUENT, RECEIVING WATER, AND DILUTION WATER

1. Plant Effluent Samples

(Special Samples Collected for Biomonitoring)

A. Sampling Point: Adams Field - Plant Effluent Outfall 001: Latitude: 34° 44' 05"N; Longitude 92° 12'46"W

(See page 2 for a vicinity map that shows the sampling locations.)

B. Collection Dates and Times:

1st sample	Setup	08/09/20 @ 12:00 PM			
	Takeoff	08/10/20 @ 10:00 AM			

2nd sample	Setup	08/09/20 @ 12:00 PM			
	Takeoff	08/10/20 @ 10:00 AM			

3rd sample	Setup	08/09/20 @ 12:00 PM		
	Takeoff	08/10/20 @ 10:00 AM		

- C. Sample Collection Method: 24 Hour Flow-Proportioned Composite (12/24HFC)
- D. Physical and Chemical Data

(Additional data in the appendices)

E. Mean Daily Discharge on Sample Collection Date

Date	Flow, MGD
08/09/20 - 08/10/20	12.88
08/11/20 - 08/12/20	14.64
08/13/20 - 08/14/20	16.37

F. Lapsed Time from Sample Collection to Delivery and Sample Temperature when received by Contract Laboratory

Sample 1:	Relinquished 08/10/20 @ 2:06 PM - Shipped Greyhound Bus
	Received 08/11/20 @ 10:10 AM - Temperature upon arrival was 5.8°C
Sample 2:	Relinquished 08/12/20 @ 1:32 PM - Shipped Greyhound Bus
	Received 08/13/20 @ 9:15 AM - Temperature upon arrival was 3.0°C
Sample 3:	Relinquished 08/14/20 @ 11:30 AM - Shipped Greyhound Bus
	Received 08/15/20 @ 10:00 AM - Temperature upon arrival was 2.5°C

2. Plant Effluent Samples

(Regular NPDES Part I Monitoring)

- A. Sampling Point: Adams Field Plant Effluent
- B. Collection Dates and Times:

The 24-hour flow composite time period begins at 8:00 a.m. daily on the date listed below as "Flow Date". Sample aliquots are collected every 2.0 hrs with the last aliquot collected at 6:00 a.m. of the next day.

C. Sample Collection Method: 24 Hour Flow Proportioned Composite (12/24HFC)

The sample aliquots are collected automatically and flow proportioned manually at the end of the sampling period. The volume of each sample aliquot used to prepare the composite sample is calculated based upon the instantaneous flow at the time the sample aliquot is collected.

DMR Week 3	1											
					Ac		il Effluent Week	ily Values				
	Flow	TSS	BOD ₅	CBOD ₅	pН	PAA (Meter) Residual,	FCB	$NH_{3}-N$	Phosphorus	NO3+NO2-N	PAA Fæd	
Date Day	MGD	mg/L	mg'L	mg′L	S.U.	mg/L	MPN/100 mLs	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	(Yes)	UVT %
08/09/20 - Sun.	12.88											
08/10/20 - Mon.	12.94	5.3	5.34		7.16	0.11	<1				Yes	66.21
08/11/20 - Tue.	14.64	7.0	4.13	1.51	7.26		1086	17.80				65.22
08/12/20 - Wed.	14.42	6.3	5.67									
08/13/20 - Thu.	16.37											
08/14/20 - Fri	18.25											
08/15/20 - Sat.	14.28											
7-Day Ave.	14.83	62	5.05	1.51	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Geo. Ave.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maximum	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.26	0.11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.21
Minimum	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	65.22

D. Physical and Chemical Data

Comments: 1.Interim CBOD/NH, N monitoring is required May-October and BOD monitoring is required January-December; Interim ends December 31, 2020. The monitoring frequency for PAA of two/week applies only when PAA is being used for disinfection.

 As per NPDES permit requirements, NO:+NO:-N is only required once per quarter; this testing was last performed for flow date 08/05/20, and the phosphorus monitoring is required once per month; this testing was last performed for flow date 08/05/20.

- 3. Receiving Water Samples
 - A. Source Arkansas River Upstream of the Adams Field Final Effluent Outfall Latitude: 34° 47' 27"N; Longitude 92° 21' 31"W
 - B. Sample Collection Method Grab Sample
 - C. Collection Dates and Times

1st sample	Grab	08/10/20 @ 10:54 AM
2nd sample	Grab	08/12/20 @ 9:25 AM
3rd sample	Grab	08//14/20 @ 8:52 AM

D. Streamflow (at time of sampling)

08/10/20	24,500 cfs
08/12/20	28,400 cfs
08/14/20	42,300 cfs

E. Lapsed time from sample collection to delivery

Sample 1:	Relinquished 08/10/20 @ 2:06 PM - Shipped Greyhound Bus
	Received 08/11/20 @ 10:10 AM - Temperature upon arrival was 5.8°C
Sample 2:	Relinquished 08/12/20 @ 1:32 PM - Shipped Greyhound Bus
	Received 08/13/20 @ 9:15 AM - Temperature upon arrival was 3.0°C
Sample 3:	Relinquished 08/14/20 @ 11:30 AM - Shipped Greyhound Bus
	Received 08/15/20 @ 10:00 AM - Temperature upon arrival was 2.5°C

F. Physical and Chemical Data – Field Measurements

Parameter Description	1st sample	2 nd sample	3rd sample
Date Collected	08/10/20	08/12/20	08/14/20
TDS, mg/L	374.7	362.7	353.3
pH, S.U.	8.33	*	8.17
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	8.71	7.17	7.22
Temperature, ∘C	30.5	28.8	28.1
TRC, mg/L	0.11	0.09	0.11

*Receiving water from 8/12/20 had a pH of 8.17 but was invalidated because the calibration buffers did not bracket the sample. pH buffers of 5.00 and 8.00 were used.

4. Dilution Water Samples

A. Source

Synthetic laboratory water prepared by contract laboratory

B. Collection Dates and Times

Distilled, deionized laboratory water was reconstituted by Huther and Associates, Inc. to match the receiving stream's hardness, alkalinity, and pH for use as the test control and effluent dilutions.

C. Pretreatment

The city tap water is purified using the following treatment before being used in the preparation of synthetic laboratory water.

- 1. Distillation
- 2. Deionization
- D. Physical and Chemical Characteristics

This data is included in Huther and Associates, Inc.'s Analytical Report attached as Appendix C.

SECTION IV TEST METHODS

Part A - Pimephales promelas

1. Toxicity Test Method Used (Title, Number, Source)

7-Day Chronic Toxicity Test, Static Renewal, with *Pimephales promelas*, EPA Method 1000.0, (EPA-821-R-02-013)

2. Endpoint(s) of Test

Larval Survival and Growth

3. Deviation(s) from Reference Method, if any, and the Reason(s)

None

4. Date and Time Test Started

August 11, 2020 @ 1450

5. Date and Time Test Terminated

August 18, 2020 @ 1450

6. Type and Volume of Test Chambers

300 mL distilled water rinsed plastic beakers

7. Volume of Solution Used Per Chamber

250 mL solution/chamber

8. Number of Organisms Per Test Chamber

8 organisms/chamber

9. Number of Replicate Test Chambers Per Concentration

5 test chambers/concentration

10. Acclimation of Test Organisms (Temperature Mean and Range) The test organisms are cultured in-house by Huther and Associates, Inc. and originated from a minimum of three in-house spawning. 11. Test Temperature (Mean and Range)

 $25^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}C$

12. Specify if Aeration was Needed

None

13. Feeding Frequency, and Amount and Type of Food

Larvae in each test chamber were fed <24 hour old *Artemia* (brine shrimp) three times per day.

Part B - *Ceriodaphnia dubia*

1. Toxicity Test Method Used (Title, Number, Source)

7-Day Chronic Toxicity Test, Static Renewal, with <u>Ceriodaphnia dubia</u>, EPA Method 1002.0, (EPA-821-R-02-013)

2. Endpoint(s) of Test

Survival and Reproduction

3. Deviation(s) from Reference Method, if any, and the Reason(s)

None

4. Date and Time Test Started

August 11, 2020 @ 1450

5. Date and Time Test Terminated

August 18, 2020 @ 1445

6. Type and Volume of Test Chambers

25 mL distilled water rinsed plastic beakers

- Volume of Solution Used Per Chamber
 15 mL solution/chamber
- 8. Number of Organisms Per Test Chamber

1 Organism/chamber

9. Number of Replicate Test Chambers Per Concentration

10 replicate cups/concentration

10. Acclimation of Test Organisms (Temperature Mean and Range)

The test organisms were cultured in-house by Huther and Associates, Inc., Inc.

11. Test Temperature (Mean and Range)

 $25^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}C$

12. Specify if Aeration was Needed

None

13. Feeding Frequency, and Amount and Type of Food

Daily feeding consisted of 0.5 mL Selenastrum capricornutum and cerophyll per test chamber.

SECTION V TEST ORGANISMS

Part A: Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*)

1. Scientific Name

Pimephales promelas

2. Age

Less than 24 hours old at test initiation and originated from a minimum of three in-house spawning

3. Life Stage

Larval stage

4. Mean Length and Weight (Where Applicable)

Test Concentration (%	Average Fish Weight,
Effluent)	mg
AR River Control	0.4466
9%	0.4620
12%	0.4614
16%	0.4568
21%	0.4628
28%	0.4568

5. Source

Huther and Associates, Inc. culture their own *Pimephales promelas*. The larvae originated from a minimum of three in-house spawning.

6. Diseases and Treatment (Where Applicable)

N/A

- Part B: Water Flea (Ceriodaphnia dubia)
- 1. Scientific Name

<u>Ceriodaphnia dubia</u>

2. Age

Less than 24 hours old at test initiation and within eight hours of the same age at test initiation.

3. Life Stage

Neonate

4. Mean Length and Weight (Where Applicable)

N/A

5. Source

Huther and Associates, Inc. cultures their own *Ceriodaphnia dubia*

6. Diseases and Treatment (Where Applicable)

N/A

SECTION VI QUALITY ASSURANCE

The QA information supplied by Huther and Associates, Inc. is contained in Appendix B.

SECTION VII RESULTS

A summary of the whole effluent toxicity test results are listed below. Huther and Associates, Inc.'s complete report can be found in the appendix C.

Part A: *<u>Pimephales promelas</u>* (Fathead minnow) Results

The Adams Field's effluent showed no statistically significant differences between the control and any effluent dilutions. The "No Observable Effects Concentration" (NOEC) for survival and growth was 28%. The coefficient of variation for the blank was 4.11% for growth and 0.00% for survival. The coefficient of variation for the critical dilution was 5.77% for growth and 0.00% for survival. The Percent Minimum Significant Difference (PMSD) was 7.8 %.

Part B: <u>Ceriodaphnia dubia</u> Results

The Adams Field's effluent showed no statistically significant differences between the control and any effluent dilutions. The "No Observable Effects Concentration" (NOEC) for survival and reproduction was 28%. The coefficient of variation for the blank was 9.90% for reproduction and 0.00% for survival. The coefficient of variation for the critical dilution was 5.69% for reproduction and 0.00% for survival. The Percent Minimum Significant Difference (PMSD) was 8.8%.

Table Summary of Test Data as Reported for Discharge Monitoring Report			
7-Day Static Renewal Sub-Lethal Effects - Pass/Fail			
TGP3B – Ceriodaphnia. dubia – Reproduction	Pass (0)		
TGP6C – Pimephales promelas – Growth	Pass (0)		
7-Day Static Renewal Lethal Effects - Pass/Fail			
TLP3B – Ceriodaphnia. dubia - Survival	Pass (0)		
TLP6C – Pimephales promelas – Survival	Pass (0)		
7-Day Static Renewal Toxic Lethal - No Observable Effects	7-Day Static Renewal Toxic Lethal - No Observable Effects Concentration		
TOP3B – <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> Survival NOEC	28%		
TOP6C – Pimephales promelas Survival NOEC	28%		
7-Day Static Renewal Toxic Sub-Lethal - No Observable Eff	7-Day Static Renewal Toxic Sub-Lethal - No Observable Effects Concentration		
TPP3B – Ceriodaphnia dubia – Reproduction NOEC	28%		
TPP6C – <i>Pimephales promelas</i> – Growth NOEC	28%		
Coefficient of Variation (CV)			
TQP3B – Ceriodaphnia dubia Reproduction	9.90%		
TQP6C – Pimephales promelas Growth	5.77%		

APPENDIX A

ADEQ FORMS

Huther and Associates, Inc.

environmental toxicologists, biologists, and consultants

LITTLE ROCK WATER RECLAMATION AUTHORITY ADAMS FIELD WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY PERMIT NO. NPDES AR0021806 **OUTFALL 001 TEST DATE: 08/11/20** FOR NET DMR

1. Ceriodaphnia dubia	Response
a. If the NOEC for survival is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0". Parameter No. TLP3B.	0
b. Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP3B.	28%
c. Report the NOEC value for reproduction, Parameter No. TPP3B.	28%
d. If the NOEC for reproduction is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1";	
otherwise, enter a "0". Parameter No. TGP3B.	0
e. Report the higher coefficient of variation (critical dilution or control),	
Parameter No. TQP3B.	9.90%
11. Pimephales promelas	Response
a. If the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for survival is less	
than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0". Parameter No. TLP6C.	0
b. Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP6C.	28%
c. Report the NOEC value for growth, Parameter No. TPP6C.	28%
 d. If the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for growth is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0". Parameter No. TGP6C. 	0
e. Report the highest coefficient of variation (critical dilution or control) Parameter No. TQP6C.	5.77%
Ceriodaphnia dubia 22415 Retest Number 1 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
22416 Retest Number 2 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
51443 Retest Number 3 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
Pimephales promelas 22418 Retest Number 1 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
22419 Retest Number 2 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
51444 Retest Number 3 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9

In comment box at bottom left: 9 = No retests required.

APPENDIX B

HUTHER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

environmental toxicologists, biologists, consultants

CHRONIC REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST RESULTS

SPECIES:

CHEMICAL:

DURATION:

TEST NUMBER:

TEST DATE:

Ceriodaphnia dubia

Sodium Chloride

7-Days

8

08/05/20 - 08/12/20 1630 Hrs - 1630 Hrs

STATISTICAL METHOD:


Dunnetts/Steels

CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD
0.5	10	0
1.0	10	0
1.5	10	0
2.0	10	4
2.5	10	10
3.0	10	10
4.0	10	10

LOEC FOR	NOEC FOR	LOEC FOR	NOEC FOR
SURVIVAL	SURVIVAL	REPRODUCTION	REPRODUCTION
2.0 g/L	1.5 g/L	1.0 g/L	0.5 g/L


Reference Tox Sodium Chloride g/L





Reference Tox Sodium Chloride g/L





environmental toxicologists, biologists, consultants

CHRONIC REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST RESULTS

SPECIES:

CHEMICAL:

DURATION:

TEST NUMBER:

TEST DATE:

Pimephales promelas

Copper Nitrate

7-Days

8

08/05/20 - 08/12/20 1525 Hrs - 1525 Hrs

STATISTICAL METHOD:


Dunnetts/Steels

CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD
12.5	40	0
25	40	0
50	40	1
100	40	17
200	40	22
400	40	40
800	40	40

LOEC FOR	NOEC FOR	LOEC FOR	NOEC FOR
SURVIVAL	SURVIVAL	GROWTH	GROWTH
100 ug/L	50 ug/L	100 ug/L	50 ug/L


Reference Tox Copper Nitrate ug/L

P. promelas Chronic Survival - NOEC



Reference Tox Copper Nitrate ug/L

P. promelas Growth - NOEC



n= 20 Mean= 67.500 SD= 24.468 CV= 36.25% Min= 50.000 Max= 100.000

APPENDIX C

HUTHER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.'S REPORT

LITTLE ROCK WATER RECLAMATION AUTHORITY ADAMS FIELD WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY OUTFALL 001

Chronic Biomonitoring Report Permit Number NPDES AR0021806 AFIN 60-00409

> Ceriodaphnia dubia Pimephales promelas

> > August 11, 2020

Reviewed by:

Bruce Huther, Technical Director Huther & Associates, Inc. 1156 North Bonnie Brae Denton, Texas 76201 (940) 387-1025, Fax: (940) 387-1036

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TOXICITY TEST REPORT1
SUMMARY
CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA SURVIVAL AND REPRODUCTION SUMMARY4
CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA STATISTICAL ANALYSES
PIMEPHALES PROMELAS SURVIVAL AND GROWTH SUMMARY9
PIMEPHALES PROMELAS STATISTICAL ANALYSES12
APPENDIX A: RAW DATA13
APPENDIX B: REFERENCE TOXICANTS
APPENDIX C: CHAIN OF CUSTODY SHEETS

environmental toxicologists, biologists, and consultants

TOXICITY TEST REPORT - CHRONIC

ClientLittle Rock Water Reclamation Authority	Sample Outfall 001
Facility Adams Field Water Reclamation Facility	Laboratory I.D
Permit No NPDES AR0021806	Begin DateAugust 11, 2020

Results: **Pass** *Ceriodaphnia dubia* survival and reproduction and *Pimephales promelas* survival and growth at the critical low flow concentration (21% effluent).

SAMPLE COLLECTION

Composite effluent samples from Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority, Adams Field Water Reclamation Facility were delivered by Greyhound Package Express courier to Huther & Associates on August 11, August 13, and August 15, 2020. Effluent samples were collected from Outfall 001 using an automatic sampler and were manually composited by facility personnel. Two toxicity tests were requested: a seven-day *Ceriodaphnia dubia* survival and reproduction test (EPA Method 1002.0), and a seven-day *Pimephales promelas* larval survival and growth test (EPA Method 1000.0). Test organisms, procedures and quality assurance requirements were in accordance with the EPA manual, "Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, Fourth Edition" (EPA-821-R-02-013).

The effluent and receiving water samples were analyzed for total residual chlorine (Standard Methods, 23^{rd} Edition, 4500-Cl D) and contained <0.01 mg/L, <0.01 mg/L, and <0.01 mg/L, respectively. Effluent and receiving dilution water hardness, alkalinity, conductivity, pH, and dissolved oxygen data were collected and recorded.

TEST SETUP Ceriodaphnia dubia



The seven-day *Ceriodaphnia dubia* survival and reproduction test was initiated at 1445 hours, August 11, 2020. Five concentrations were prepared (9%, 12%, 16%, 21%, and 28% effluent) utilizing receiving water (Arkansas River) as dilution water. The test was conducted in 25 mL distilled water rinsed plastic beakers containing 15 mL of solution (one organism per beaker, ten beakers per concentration). *C. dubia* neonates were less than 24-hours-old and within eight hours of the same age at test initiation. Neonates were placed in beakers following a randomized block test design. Fresh solutions were prepared and renewed daily. Daily feeding consisted of 0.5 mL *Selenastrum capricornutum* and cerophyll per test chamber. The test proceeded for seven days during which survival, reproduction and water quality data were collected daily.

A true control of ten replicate beakers containing one neonate each in receiving water was conducted concurrently with the test. There was 100% survival in the true control. In addition, a performance control of ten replicate beakers containing one neonate each in synthetic laboratory water was conducted concurrently with the test. The purpose of the performance control was to assess the health of the test organisms and to identify receiving water toxicity. The performance control data was not used in the statistical analysis of the test data. There was 100% survival in the performance control. The test ended at 1445 hours, August 18, 2020. Survival and reproduction data were statistically analyzed (p = 0.05) according to EPA procedures to determine the Lowest Observable Effect Concentration (LOEC) and the No Observable Effect Concentration (NOEC).

There was 100% survival to *C. dubia* in all of the effluent concentrations tested. Therefore, statistical analyses were not required to determine a no effect concentration.

LOEC: Not Applicable NOEC: 28% Effluent

C. dubia reproduction data were normally distributed at the 0.01 alpha level (13.277) using Chi-Square test for normality. Reproduction data were homogeneous using Bartlett's test at the 0.01 alpha level (15.09) without data transformations. Therefore, a parametric test was performed on the homogeneous data. Dunnett's test on *C. dubia* reproduction data demonstrated that there were no statistically significant differences between the control and any of the effluent concentrations.

LOEC: Not Applicable NOEC: 28% Effluent PMSD: 8.8%

The seven-day *Pimephales promelas* larval survival and growth test was initiated at 1450 hours, August 11, 2020. Five concentrations were prepared (9%, 12%, 16%, 21%, and 28% effluent) utilizing receiving water (Arkansas River) as dilution water. The test was conducted in 300 mL distilled water rinsed plastic beakers containing 250 mL of solution (eight organisms per beaker, five beakers per concentration). *P. promelas* larvae were less than 24-hours-old at test initiation and originated from a minimum of three inhouse spawnings. Fresh solutions were prepared and renewed daily. Larvae in each test chamber were fed <24-hour-old *Artemia* (brine shrimp) three times per day. The test proceeded for seven days during which survival and water quality data were collected daily.

REPRODUCTION

Ceriodaphnia dubia

SURVIVAL

Ceriodaphnia dubia

TEST SETUP Pimephales promelas



	A true control of five replicate beakers of eight larvae each in receiving water was conducted currently with the test. There was 100% survival in the true control. In addition, a performance control of five replicate beakers of eight larvae each in synthetic laboratory water was conducted concurrently with the test. The purpose of the performance control was to assess the health of the test larvae and to identify receiving water toxicity. The performance control data was not used in the statistical analysis of the test data. There was 100% survival in the performance control. At the end of the test, all larvae were sacrificed, dried, and weighed. The test ended at 1450 hours, August 18, 2020. Survival and growth (weight) data were statistically analyzed ($p = 0.05$) according to EPA procedures to determine the Lowest Observable Effect Concentration (LOEC) and the No Observable Effect Concentration (NOEC).
SURVIVAL Pimephales promelas	There was 100% survival to <i>P. promelas</i> in all of the effluent concentrations tested. Therefore, statistical analyses were not required to determine a no effect concentration.
	LOEC: Not Applicable NOEC: 28% Effluent
GROWTH Pimephales promelas	<i>P. promelas</i> growth data were normally distributed at the 0.01 alpha level (0.900) using Shapiro Wilk's test for normality. Growth data were homogeneous using Bartlett's test at the 0.01 alpha level (15.09) without data transformations. Therefore, a parametric test was performed on the homogeneous data. Dunnett's test on <i>P. promelas</i> growth data demonstrated that there were no statistically significant differences between the control and any of the effluent concentrations.
	LOEC: Not ApplicablePMSD: 7.8%NOEC: 28% Effluent
SUMMARY	There were no statistically significant differences between the control and the critical low flow concentration (21% effluent) for <i>C. dubia</i> survival and reproduction and <i>P. promelas</i> survival and growth. Based on biomonitoring requirements for Outfall 001 contained in Permit Number NPDES AR0021806 for Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority, Adams Field Water Reclamation Facility, Outfall 001 passed for this testing period.

Huther and Associates

7-Day/3 Brood Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Chronic Toxicity Test

CLIENT Little Rock WRA, Adams Field WRF	SAMPLE TYPE 24 Hour Composite	
NPDES # AR0021806	DATE COLLECTED 08/10/20 08/12/20 08/14/2	0
LAB ID # 31778	DATE RECEIVED 08/11/20 08/13/20 08/15/2	0
TEST TYPE 7 Day Chronic	BEGIN DATE/TIME 08/11/20 1445	
TEST ORGANISM Ceriodaphnia dubia	END DATE/TIME 08/18/20 1445	
ORGANISM AGE <24-Hours	TEST TEMPERATURE (°C) 25 ± 1	
ORGANISM SOURCE In House	PHOTO PERIOD 16-hr. Light 8-hr. Dark	
RECEIVING WATER Arkansas River	LIGHT INTENSITY 50-100 ft. endl	
DILUTION WATER Arkansas River	TECHNICIAN D. Kaiser	

SURVIVAL & REPRODUCTION SUMMARY

			Perf	orman	ce Coi	ntrol				
Date	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
	A	A	A	Α	A	A	A	A	A	A
08/12/20	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	D	0
	A	A	A	Α	A	A	A	A	A	Α
08/13/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	A	A	A	Α	A	A	A	A	A	A
08/14/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	3	2	4	5	3	3	2	5	5
08/15/20	З	3	2	4	5	3	3	2	5	5
	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	А	А
08/16/20	З	3	2	4	5	3	3	2	5	5
	7	10	9	8	6	7	7	11	8	9
08/17/20	10	13	11	12	11	10	10	13	13	14
	14	13	13	12	12	14	13	12	13	12
08/18/20	24	26	24	24	23	24	23	25	26	26
			'oung ırvival	24.5 100%)			4.819 0.00		

Date	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
08/12/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
08/13/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	A	Α	Α	Α	A	A	A	A	Α	A
08/14/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4	2	3	3	4	5	2	2	3	4
08/15/20	4	2	3	3	4	5	2	2	3	4
	Α	Α	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
08/16/20	4	2	3	3	4	5	2	2	3	4
	9	7	10	6	8	11	7	8	9	9
08/17/20	13	9	13	9	12	16	9	10	12	13
	13	12	13	12	12	12	14	13	12	14
08/18/20	26	21	26	21	24	28	23	23	24	27
			'oung urvival	24.3 100%	5			9.90°		

				9%Ef	fluent					
Date	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
	Α	A	Α	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
8/12/20	0	0	0	0	0	۵	ò	0	0	0
	A	A	Α	A	A	A	A	Α	A	A
8/13/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	А	A	A	A	А	А	A	А	Α	A
8/14/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q
	4	2	3	2	5	4	4	2	3	3
8/15/20	4	2	3	2	5	4	4	2	୍ଷ	3
	А	A	А	A	Α	А	A	A	A	A
8/16/20	4	2	3	2	5	4	4	2	3	3
	8	6	10	7	9	8	8	11	9	7
08/17/20	12	8	13	9	14	12	12	13	12	10
l	14	12	12	12	12	13	13	12	14	13
08/18/20	26	20	25	21	26	25	25	25	26	23

where: A = Alive

5 = Alive, 5 young D = Dead

D5 = 5 Young, Female died

A alive today 4 total young to date

ex 1:

5 alive, 5 young today 12 total young to date

ex 2:

Little Rock, Adams Field

Lab ID# 31778

Test Date: August 11, 2020

16 % Effluent										
Date	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α
08/12/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Α	А	А	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α
08/13/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Α	Α	A	A	A	A	Α	А	A	А
08/14/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	3	2	2	4	5	5	3	3	2
08/15/20	3	3	2	2	4	5	5	3	3	2
	A	Α	A	A	A	A	A	Α	А	А
08/16/20	3	З	2	2	4	5	5	3	З	2
	7	6	11	8	9	7	10	9	9	8
08/17/20	10	9	13	10	13	12	15	12	12	10
	13	12	12	14	13	12	13	12	13	12
08/18/20	23	21	25	24	26	24	28	24	25	22
			'oung arvival	24.2 100%	5			8.22 ⁰		

21%Effluent										
Date	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α	А	A	A
08/12/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α	А	A
08/13/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0
	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	Α	A	A
08/14/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	4	2	3	5	4	4	3	3	2
08/15/20	3	4	2	3	5	4	4	3	3	2
	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	А	A	A
08/16/20	3	4	2	З	5	4	4	3	3	2
	9	6	7	10	8	8	6	11	7	9
08/17/20	12	10	9	13	13	12	10	14	10	11
	13	13	14	12	13	12	14	12	12	12
08/18/20	25	23	23	25	26	24	24	26	22	23
			oung Irvival	24.1 100%)			5.699 0.009		

Date	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
	Α	A	A	A	A	A	А	Α	Α	A
08/12/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0
	Α	A	A	A	A	Α	A	А	A	A
08/13/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Α	Α	Α	Α	A	A	A	Α	A	A
08/14/20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4	2	3	2	5	5	3	2	4	4
08/15/20	4	2	3	2	5	5	3	2	4	4
	A	Α	Α	A	Α	Α	A	A	Α	A
08/16/20	4	2	3	2	5	5	3	2	4	4
	8	7	7	6	10	9	7	9	6	10
08/17/20	12	9	10	8	15	14	10	11	10	14
	13	12	12	14	13	12	13	12	12	14
08/18/20	25	21	22	22	28	26	23	23	22	28
			'oung Irvival	24.0 100%	5			10.76 0.009		

where:



D = Dead D5 = 5 Young, Female died

ex 1: alive today Α total young to date 4

5 alive, 5 young today 12 total young to date

ex 2

Huther and Associates 7-Day/3 Brood *Ceriodaphnia dubia* Survival and Reproduction Chronic Toxicity Test

Little Rock, Adams Field

Lab ID# 31778

Test Date: August 11, 2020

WET CHEMISTRY MEASUREMENTS

			Samp.			p	H of Solutio	n			Analyst
Date	Time	Temp	No.	PCON	TCON	9%	12%	16%	21%	28%	Analysi
08/11/20	Start	25.0	1	8.64	8.14	8.11	8.06	8.02	7.99	7.93	LB
08/12/20	24 Hr.	24.3	1	8.24	8.16	8.13	8.11	8.11	8.09	8.09	LM
08/12/20	Renew	24.4	1	8.13	8.00	7.95	7.93	7.92	7.87	7.88	LM
08/13/20	48 Hr.	24.3	\mathbb{N}_1	8.16	8.07	8.05	8.00	7.96	7.93	7.93	LM
08/13/20	Renew	25.0	2	8.09	8.01	7.98	7.94	7.91	7.87	7.85	LM
08/14/20	72 Hr.	26.2	2	8.15	8.05	8.04	7.97	7.94	7.91	7.90	LM
08/14/20	Renew	24.7	2	7.81	7.95	7.94	7.89	7.85	7.81	7.80	LM
08/15/20	96 Hr.	25.3	2	8.28	8.20	8.16	8.10	8.09	8.07	8.00	JS
08/15/20	Renew	25.0	3	7.84	7.87	7.85	7.77	7.76	7.73	7.71	JS
08/16/20	120 Hr.	26.2	3	8.12	7.98	7.94	7.88	7.85	7.81	7.81	LM
08/16/20	Renew	24.6	3	8.17	7.99	7.94	7.87	7.83	7.77	7.80	LM
08/17/20	144 Hr.	25.7	3	7.97	7.88	7.89	7.84	7.81	7.77	7.80	LM
08/17/20	Renew	24.3	3	8.12	7.90	7.86	7.78	7.73	7.72	7.72	LM
08/18/20	168 Hr.	25.6	3	8.42	8.35	8.31	8.28	8.26	8.23	8.22	JS

_		T	Samp.			DO (I	ng/L) of Sol	ution			Analyst
Date	Time	Temp	No.	PCON	TCON	9%	12%	16%	21%	28%	Allalyst
08/11/20	Start	25.0	1	8.05	8.04	7.93	7.88	7.98	8.07	8.06	LB
08/12/20	24 Hr.	24.3	ો	7.73	7.05	8.40	7.57	7.20	8.17	7.83	LM
08/12/20	Renew	24.4	1	8.14	8.14	7.70	8.15	8.15	7.62	8.18	LM
08/13/20	48 Hr.	24.3	1	8.29	7.86	7.62	7.36	7.49	7.63	7.08	LM
08/13/20	Renew	25.0	2	7.84	8.29	8.31	8.28	8.17	7.68	8.30	LM
08/14/20	72 Hr.	26.2	2	8.22	8.33	6.40	8.29	8.30	8.32	8.23	LM
08/14/20	Renew	24.7	2	8.02	7.99	8.11	8.17	7.86	7.70	8.10	LM
08/15/20	96 Hr.	25.3	2	7.64	8.41	8.27	8.16	8.34	8.18	7.62	JS
08/15/20	Renew	25.0	3	8.28	8.19	8.48	7.52	7.52	8.43	7.88	JS
08/16/20	120 Hr.	26.2	3	7.45	7.80	7.28	7.71	8.41	7.77	7.16	LM
08/16/20	Renew	24.6	3	8.39	7.86	7.82	7.24	7.12	7.42	8.30	LM
08/17/20	144 Hr.	25.7	3	8.32	7.22	8.28	8.50	8.55	8.58	8.62	LM
08/17/20	Renew	24.3	3	8.02	8.49	8.19	8.43	8.38	8.47	8.42	LM
08/18/20	168 Hr.	25.6	3	7.39	7.87	7.82	7.66	7.73	7.64	7.42	JS

Huther and Associates 7-Day/3 Brood *Ceriodaphnia dubia* Survival and Reproduction Chronic Toxicity Test

Little Rock, Adams Field

Lab ID# 31778

Test Date: August 11, 2020

INITIAL CHEMISTRY MEASUREMENTS @ 100% EFFLUENT

Date	Samp. No,	pH ¹	DO ¹	Hardness mg/L CaCO ₃ ¹	Alkalinity mg/L CaCO ₃ ¹	Conduct. µS/cm ¹	Resid.Cl ₂ mg/L ¹	Dechlor(mL) Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ mg/L ¹	Analyst
08/11/20	1	7.55	8.05	36	92	400	<0.01	N/A	LB
08/13/20	2	7.43	8.24	44	116	417	< 0.01	N/A	LM
08/15/20	3	7.50	8.13	44	112	439	< 0.01	N/A	JS

INITIAL CHEMISTRY MEASUREMENTS @ RECEIVING WATER

Date	Samp. No.	pH1	DO1	Hardness mg/L CaCO ₃ ¹	Alkalinity mg/L CaCO3 ¹	Conduct. µS/cm ¹	Resid.Cl ₂ mg/L ¹	Dechlor(mL) Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ mg/L ¹	Analyst
08/11/20	RS1	8.14	8.04	136	108	790	<0.01	N/A	LB
08/13/20	RS2	8.01	8.29	144	112	696	<0.01	N/A	LM
08/15/20	RS3	7.87	8.19	148	110	736	< 0.01	N/A	JS

¹ Measurements taken in 100% solution.

CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA STATISTICAL ANALYSES Reproduction

Summary Statistics on Transformed Data Table I of 2									
Grp	Identification	N	<u>Min</u>	Max	<u>Mean</u>				
1	Control	10	21.000	28.000	24.300				
2	9% Effluent	10	20.000	26.000	24.200				
3	12% Effluent	10	22.000	26.000	24.000				
4	16% Effluent	10	21.000	28.000	24.200				
5	21% Effluent	10	22.000	26.000	24.100				
6	28% Effluent	10	21.000	28.000	24.000				

Summary Statistics on Transformed Data Table 2 of 2

<u>Grp</u>	Identification	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Sd</u>	<u>Sem</u>	<u>C.V.%</u>
1	Control	5.789	2.406	0.761	9.90
2	9% Effluent	4.622	2.150	0.680	8.88
3	12% Effluent	2.667	1.633	0.516	6.80
4	16% Effluent	3.956	1.989	0.629	8.22
5	21% Effluent	1.878	1.370	0.433	5.69
6	28% Effluent	6.667	2.582	0.816	10.76

Chi-Square T	Fest For Normality:	Actual And E	xnected Fred	uencies
- Uni-Oquare i	i cot i or i tormanty.	rictuur rinu L	Apecied 1109	ueneres

Interval	<u>< -1.5</u>	<u>-1.5 to -0.5</u>	<u>-0.5 to 0.5</u>	<u>>0.5 to 1.5</u>	<u>>1.5</u>
Expected	4.020	14.520	22.920	14.520	4.020
Observed	3	18	20	15	4

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 1.4808Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data **Pass** normality test. Continue analysis.

Bartlett's Test For Homogeneity of Variance

Calculated B1 statistic = 4.57

Table Chi-square value = 15.09 (alpha = 0.01, DF = 5) Table Chi-square value = 11.07 (alpha = 0.05, DF = 5)

Data Pass B1 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

ANOVA Table					
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	
Between	5	0.733	0.147	0.034	
Within (Error)	54	230.200	4.263		
Total	59	230.933			

Critical F value = 2.45 (0.05,5,40)

Since F < Critical F Fail to Reject Ho: All equal

Dunnett's Test - Table 1 of 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

			Mean		
		Transformed	<u>Calculated In</u>		
<u>Grp</u>	Identification	<u>Mean</u>	Original Units	<u>T Stat</u>	Sig
1	Control	24.300	24.300		
2	9% Effluent	24.200	24.200	0.108	
3	12% Effluent	24.000	24.000	0.325	
4	16% Effluent	24.200	24.200	0.108	
5	21% Effluent	24.100	24.100	0.217	
6	28% Effluent	24.000	24.000	0.325	
Dunnett table value = 2.31 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, DF=40,5)					

No statistically significant difference

Dunnett's Test - Table 2 of 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

Grp	Identification	<u>Num of</u> <u>Reps</u>	<u>Minimum Sig</u> <u>Diff</u> (In Orig. Units)	<u>% of</u> <u>Control</u>	<u>Difference</u> <u>from</u> <u>Control</u>
1	Control	10			
2	9% Effluent	10	2.133	8.8	0.100
3	12% Effluent	10	2.133	8.8	0.300
4	16% Effluent	10	2.133	8.8	0.100
5	21% Effluent	10	2.133	8.8	0.200
6	28% Effluent	10	2.133	8.8	0.300

Huther and Associates 7-Day *Pimephales promelas* Survival and Growth Chronic Toxicity Test

CLIENT Little Rock WRA, Adams Field WRF	SAMPLE TYPE 24 Hour Composite	
NPDES # AR0021806	DATE COLLECTED 08/10/20 08/12/20 08/14/20	
LAB ID # 31778	DATE RECEIVED 08/11/20 08/13/20 08/15/20	
TEST TYPE 7 Day Chronic	BEGIN DATE/TIME 08/11/20 1450	
TEST ORGANISM Pimephales promelas	END DATE/TIME 08/18/20 1450	
ORGANISM AGE <24-Hours	TEST TEMPERATURE (°C) 25 ± 1	
ORGANISM SOURCE In House	PHOTO PERIOD 16-hr. Light 8-hr. Dark	
RECEIVING WATER Arkansas River	LIGHT INTENSITY 50-100 ft. cndl.	
DILUTION WATER Arkansas River	TECHNICIAN J. Castillo	

SURVIVAL SUMMARY

C		0	8/12/2	20			0	8/13/2	20			0	8/14/2	20			0	8/15/2	20			0	8/16/2	20	
Conc.	Α	В	C	D	Ε	А	В	C	D	E	Α	В	C	D	E	Α	В	С	D	Е	Α	В	C	D	E
PCON	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
TCON	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
9%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
12%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
16%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
21%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
28%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8

Como	nc.	8/17/2	20			0	8/18/2	20		x %	C.V. %	
Conc.	A	В	C	D	Ε	Α	В	С	D	E	Survival	C. V. 70
PCON	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0	0.00
TCON	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0	0.00
9%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0	0.00
12%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0	0.00
16%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0	0.00
2 1%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0	0.00
28%	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0	0.00

MEAN DRY WEIGHT PER REP

% Effluent	Rep A	Rep B	Rep C	Rep D	Rep E	x	C.V.%
PCON	0.4490	0.4670	0.4250	0.4830	0.4610	0.4570	4.74
TCON	0.4290	0.4750	0.4320	0.4460	0.4510	0.4466	4.11
9%	0.4600	0.4810	0.4210	0.4810	0.4670	0.4620	5.34
12%	0.4820	0.4580	0.4690	0.4230	0.4750	0.4614	5.03
16%	0.4690	0.4470	0.4820	0.4650	0.4210	0.4568	5.17
21%	0.4740	0.4860	0.4170	0.4720	0.4650	0,4628	5.77
28%	0.4800	0.4290	0.4640	0.4750	0.4360	0.4568	5.05

Huther and Associates 7-Day *Pimephales promelas* Survival and Growth Chronic Toxicity Test

Little Rock, Adams Field

Lab ID# 31778

Test Date: August 11, 2020

WET CHEMISTRY MEASUREMENTS

		415	Samp.			1	H of Solutio	n			Amplust
Date	Time	Temp	No.	PCON	TCON	9%	12%	16%	21%	28%	Analyst
08/11/20	Start	25.0	1	8.64	8.14	8.11	8.06	8.02	7.99	7.93	LB
08/12/20	24 Hr.	25.4	1	7.87	7.91	7.90	7.92	7.94	7.90	7.86	LM
08/12/20	Renew	24.4	1	8.13	8.00	7.95	7.93	7.92	7.87	7.88	LM
08/13/20	48 Hr.	25.6	1	7.64	7.59	7.67	7.74	7.73	7.56	7.64	LM
08/13/20	Renew	25.0	2	8.09	8.01	7.98	7.94	7.91	7.87	7.85	LM
08/14/20	72 Hr.	26.4	2	7.69	7.59	7.70	7.67	7.68	7.69	7.78	LM
08/14/20	Renew	24.7	2	7.81	7.95	7.94	7.89	7.85	7.81	7.80	LM
08/15/20	96 Hr.	25.3	2	7.53	7.62	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.64	7.65	JS
08/15/20	Renew	25.0	3	7.84	7.87	7.85	7.77	7.76	7.73	7.71	JS
08/16/20	120 Hr.	26.5	3	8.21	8.18	8.18	8.19	8.17	8.16	8.14	LM
08/16/20	Renew	24.6	3	8.17	7.99	7.94	7.87	7.83	7.77	7.80	LM
08/17/20	144 Hr.	25.9	3	7.58	7.61	7.60	7.62	7.65	7.67	7.62	LM
08/17/20	Renew	24.3	3	8.12	7.90	7.86	7.78	7.73	7.72	7.72	LM
08/18/20	168 Hr.	25.8	3	8.08	8.13	8.16	8.14	8.15	8,11	8.09	JS

			Samp.			DO (mg/L) of Sol	lution			
Date	Time	Temp	No.	PCON	TCON	9%	12%	16%	21%	28%	Analyst
08/11/20	Start	25.0	1	8.05	8.04	7.93	7.88	7.98	8.07	8.06	LB
08/12/20	24 Hr.	25.4	1	7.95	7.54	8.08	8,19	8.25	8.01	8.04	LM
08/12/20	Renew	24.4	1	8.14	8.14	7.70	8.15	8.15	7.62	8.18	LM
08/13/20	48 Hr.	25.6	1	8.05	8.03	8.11	8.00	8.10	8.05	8.11	LM
08/13/20	Renew	25.0	2	7.84	8.29	8.31	8.28	8.17	7.68	8.30	LM
08/14/20	72 Hr.	26.4	2	8.11	7.25	8.08	8.03	8.07	8.02	7.92	LM
08/14/20	Renew	24.7	2	8.02	7.99	8.11	8.17	7.86	7.70	8.10	LM
08/15/20	96 Hr.	25.3	2	7.80	7.59	7.58	7.75	8.13	8.44	7.73	JS
08/15/20	Renew	25.0	3	8.28	8.19	8.48	7.52	7.52	8.43	7.88	JS
08/16/20	120 Hr.	26.5	3	7.88	7.05	7.89	7.13	7.60	8.21	7.30	LM
08/16/20	Renew	24.6	3	8.39	7.86	7.82	7.24	7.12	7.42	8.30	LM
08/17/20	144 Hr.	25.9	3	7.34	7.46	8.23	7.56	8.20	8.32	7.79	LM
08/17/20	Renew	24.3	3	8.02	8.49	8.19	8.43	8.38	8.47	8.42	LM
08/18/20	168 Hr.	25.8	3	7.31	8,50	8.63	8.60	8.44	8.38	8.14	JS

Huther and Associates 7-Day *Pimephales promelas* Survival and Growth Chronic Toxicity Test

Little Rock, Adams Field

Lab ID# 31778

Test Date: August 11, 2020

INITIAL CHEMISTRY MEASUREMENTS @ 100% EFFLUENT

Date	Samp. No.	pH1	DO ¹	Hardness mg/L CaCO ₃ ¹	Alkalinity mg/L CaCO ₃ ¹	Conduct. µS/cm ¹	Resid.Cl ₂ mg/L ¹	Dechlor(mL) Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ mg/L ¹	Analyst
08/11/20	1	7.55	8.05	36	92	400	<0.01	N/A	LB
08/13/20	2	7.43	8.24	44	116	417	<0.01	N/A	LM
08/15/20	3	7.50	8.13	44	112	439	<0.01	N/A	JS

INITIAL CHEMISTRY MEASUREMENTS @ RECEIVING WATER

Date	Samp. No.	pHi	DO1	Hardness mg/L CaCO ₃ ¹	Alkalinity mg/L CaCO ₃ ¹	Conduct. µS/cm ¹	Resid.Cl ₂ mg/L ¹	$\frac{\text{Dechlor}(\text{mL})}{\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3\text{ mg/L}^1}$	Analyst
08/11/20	RS1	8.14	8.04	136	108	790	<0.01	N/A	LB
08/13/20	RS2	8.01	8.29	144	112	696	<0.01	N/A	LM
08/15/20	RS3	7.87	8.19	148	110	736	<0.01	N/A	JS

¹ Measurements taken in 100% solution.

PIMEPHALES PROMELAS STATISTICAL ANALYSES Growth

	Summary Statisti	cs on Tra	insformed L	ata Table I	of 2
<u>Grp</u>	Identification	N	<u>Min</u>	Max	<u>Mean</u>
1	Control	5	0.429	0.475	0.447
2	9% Effluent	5	0.421	0.481	0.462
3	12% Effluent	5	0.423	0.482	0.461
4	16% Effluent	5	0.421	0.482	0.457
5	21% Effluent	5	0.417	0.486	0.463
6	28% Effluent	5	0.429	0.480	0.457

....

~ ~

Summary	Statistics on	Transformed I	Data	Table 2 d	of 2

<u>Grp</u>	Identification	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Sd</u>	<u>Sem</u>	<u>C.V.%</u>
1	Control	0.000	0.018	0.008	4.11
2	9% Effluent	0.001	0.025	0.011	5.34
3	12% Effluent	0.001	0.023	0.010	5.03
4	16% Effluent	0.001	0.024	0.011	5.17
5	21% Effluent	0.001	0.027	0.012	5.77
6	28% Effluent	0.001	0.023	0.010	5.05

Shapiro - Wilk's Test For Normality

D = 0.013

W = 0.909

 $\frac{\text{Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 30) = 0.927}}{\text{Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 30) = 0.900}}$ Data **Pass** normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.

Bartlett's Test For Homogeneity of Variance

Calculated B1 statistic = 0.53

Table Chi-square value = 15.09 (alpha = 0.01, DF = 5) Table Chi-square value = 11.07 (alpha = 0.05, DF = 5)

Data Pass B1 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

ANOVA Table											
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F							
Between	5	0.001	0.000	0.334							
Within (Error)	24	0.013	0.001								
Total	29	0.014									

Critical F value = 2.62 (0.05,5,24)

Since F < Critical F Fail to Reject Ho: All equal

Dunnett's Test - Table 1 of 2 Ho:Control<Treatment


			<u>Mean</u>		
		<u>Transformed</u>	<u>Calculated In</u>		
<u>Grp</u>	Identification	<u>Mean</u>	Original Units	<u>T Stat</u>	Sig
1	Control	0.447	0.447		
2	9% Effluent	0.462	0.462	-1.041	
3	12% Effluent	0.461	0.461	-1.000	
4	16% Effluent	0.457	0.457	-0.689	
5	21% Effluent	0.463	0.463	-1.095	
6	28% Effluent	0.457	0.457	-0.689	
Dunn	ett table value =	2.36 (1 Tailed V	'alue, P=0.05, DF	=24,5)	

No statistically significant difference

Dunnett's Test - Table 2 of 2 Ho:Control<Treatment


<u>Grp</u>	<u>Identification</u>	<u>Num of</u> <u>Reps</u>	<u>Minimum Sig</u> <u>Diff</u> (In Orig. Units)	<u>% of</u> <u>Control</u>	Difference from Control
1	Control	5			
2	9% Effluent	5	0.035	7.8	-0.015
3	12% Effluent	5	0.035	7.8	-0.015
4	16% Effluent	5	0.035	7.8	-0.010
5	21% Effluent	5	0.035	7.8	-0.016
6	28% Effluent	5	0.035	7.8	-0.010

APPENDIX A RAW DATA



7-DAY CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA SURVIVAL & REPRODUCTION

7-DAY CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA SURVIVAL & REPRODUCTION DAILY RAW DATA TABLE PAGE 2 OF 2



7-DAY CHRONIC TOXICITY TEST PIMEPHALES PROMELAS (fathead minnow) SURVIVAL

Little Rock - Adams Field 001 PROJECT # 31778 M CLIENT/FACILITY

OUTFALL #

ï

DATE/TIME ENDED

DATE/TIME STARTED &.11.20 Jc 1450

2.04
ORGANISM ID#

PROJECT #	
- 20	227.02.

a

1452
HM
8-20
1-8

Sector Se	State of the local division of the local div	and the second division of the second divisio		Y			Construction of the local division of the lo]
ш	8	ò	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞		8.16.20 MH 0835
D	8	8	∞	8	∞	ò	∞		0 H
U	8	8	\otimes	8	∞	Š	Š		<i>х</i> 0
ß	8	8	∞	∞	∞	∞	8		6.9
<	8	80	8	8	8	∞	∞		S:I
щ	8	8	8	8	8	8	ò		S.IS.ZOMH 0845
۵	\otimes	∞	\otimes	∞	∞	8	\propto		I U U
U	8	∞	∞	∞	8	∞	8		MA
8	∞	8	∞	∞	∞	ŎŎ	∞	,	Ś.
<	8	∞	8	∞	∞	8	∞		N.I
ш	\otimes	3	X	V	2	2	\bigotimes		910
Q	\bigotimes	2	\otimes	\otimes	8	8	K		26 9
U	K	3	\bigotimes	2	3	2	X		1 1
B	\bowtie	\bigotimes	\bigotimes	\varnothing	2	00	V		8-14-20
۷	\otimes	2	\bigotimes	\otimes	\otimes	\bowtie	V		Ŕ
щ	W	X	X	X	200	2	∞		0 Y
٩	S	2	Ŵ	K	\sim	pc×	\bowtie		14 16
U	V	\otimes	6	100	3	\otimes	6		
A	X	S	2	\otimes	2	\bowtie	100		13.2(
<	X	2	S	\otimes	2	0	100		Į.Ś
<u>س</u>	W	X	\sim	X	\sim		X	2	\$
٩	X	\mathbb{N}	K	N/X	-	X	X		
υ	X	N/W	X		00	V	\bowtie	1	10
æ	X)W	X	200	00	M	V	1.	22
<	K)×	3	8	X	20	K	1	N.I
Conc.	23	10	5	12	9	12	28		Initials Date/Time

		Τ	Ī					
C.V.\$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0,00	0.00	
Mean Survival	100.0	00.001	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
E	Ś	8	∞	∞	8	∞	∞	8
۵	∞	Ś	∞	\otimes	∞	Ś	60	T
U	8	∞	∞	8	8	8	8	HW
 m				8	\$	8	8	023
	8	60	8	60	8	8	8	10CO R.IKZO MH 1450
Е.	5	\bowtie	X	N N	3	Ø	S	8
٩	2	\bowtie	\bowtie	\otimes	8	\heartsuit	\sim	1
υ	V	12	\bowtie	\otimes	\bowtie	S	\bowtie	0 10
æ	\otimes	100	\bowtie	\bowtie	\heartsuit	\bowtie	K	ガー
<	\triangleleft	\bowtie	3	3	\bowtie	3	2	×
Conte.	20	10	5	2	٩	17	28	lmitals Antice Antice

• • •

Huther and Associates, Inc.

environmental toxicologists, biologists, consultants

.

7-DAY CHRONIC TOXICITY TEST PIMEPHALES PROMELAS (fathead minnow) MEAN WEIGHT/REP

Client	Title Dock	Golomo Freld
Project#	31778	
Date Weight	ed: <u>8/19/20</u>	BH

 Date/Time Start
 S/11/20
 1450

 Date/Time End
 S/11/20
 1450

N. Fillinget	Rep A	Rep B	Rep C	Rep D	Rep E	X	C.V.%	Analyst
% Effluent		· 4670	14250	· 7830	.4610	,4570	4.74	BH
Plan	· 4490 · 4290	.4750	.4320	. 4460	.4510	.4466	4.11	
Tan	. 4600	.4310	.4210	.4810	.4670	.4620	5.34	
7		. 4580	.4690	. 4230	.4750	.4614	5.03	
12	. 4820 . 4690	. 4470	· 4820	. 4650	.4210	,4558	5.17	
78 21	. 4740	17860	. 4170	.4720	. 4650	.4628	5.77	
	. 18.00	.4290	.4640	.4750	.4360	.4 568	5.05	,
28	1000	10.10						

Hut	ther and Associates, Inc.	
		environmental toxicologists, biologists, and consultants
Client / Facility	Little Rock- Adams	Field
Lab ID Number	31778	
Outfall Number	001	

Test Date 8-11-20

INITIAL CHEMISTRY MEASUREMENTS @ 100% EFFLUENT

Date	Samp, No.	рН	DO	Hardness mg/L CaC03 ¹	Alkalinity mg/L CaCO3 ¹	Conduct. umhos/cm ¹	Resid.Cl2 mg/L ¹	Dechlor(mL) Na2S2O3 mg/L ¹	Analyst
8-11-20	1	7.55	8.05	36	92	400	20.01	NIA	LB
8-13-20	2	7.43	8.24	درد	116	417	20.01	N/A	LM
8-15-20	3	7.50	8.13	44	112	439	٢٥.٥١	NIA	75

INITIAL CHEMISTRY MEASUREMENTS @ RECEIVING WATER

Date	Samp. No.	рН	DO	Hardness mg/L CaC03 ¹	Alkalinity mg/L CaCO3 ¹	Conduct. umhos/cm ¹	Resid.Cl2 mg/L ¹	Dechlor(mL) Na2S2O3 mg/L ¹	Analyst
8-11-20	RSI	8.14	8.04	136	108	790	20.01	NIA	LB
8-13-20	RS 2	8.01	8.29	144	112	696	40.01	NIA	LM
8-15-20	RS 3	7.87	8.19	148	110	736	20.01	NIA	<u>J</u> S

Notes:

APPENDIX B REFERENCE TOXICANTS

environmental toxicologists, biologists, consultants

CHRONIC REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST RESULTS

SPECIES:

CHEMICAL:

DURATION:

TEST NUMBER:

TEST DATE:

Ceriodaphnia dubia

Sodium Chloride

7-Days

8

08/05/20 - 08/12/20 1630 Hrs - 1630 Hrs

STATISTICAL METHOD:


Dunnetts/Steels

CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD
0.5	10	0
1.0	10	0
1.5	10	0
2.0	10	4
2.5	10	10
3.0	10	10
4.0	10	10

LOEC FOR	NOEC FOR	LOEC FOR	NOEC FOR
SURVIVAL	SURVIVAL	REPRODUCTION	REPRODUCTION
2.0 g/L	1.5 g/L	1.0 g/L	0.5 g/L


Reference Tox Sodium Chloride g/L





Reference Tox Sodium Chloride g/L





environmental toxicologists, biologists, consultants

CHRONIC REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST RESULTS

SPECIES:

CHEMICAL:

DURATION:

TEST NUMBER:

TEST DATE:

Pimephales promelas

Copper Nitrate

7-Days

8

08/05/20 - 08/12/20 1525 Hrs - 1525 Hrs

STATISTICAL METHOD:


Dunnetts/Steels

CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD
12.5	40	0
25	40	0
50	40	1
100	40	17
200	40	22
400	40	40
800	40	40

LOEC FOR	NOEC FOR	LOEC FOR	NOEC FOR
SURVIVAL	SURVIVAL	GROWTH	GROWTH
100 ug/L	50 ug/L	100 ug/L	50 ug/L


Reference Tox Copper Nitrate ug/L

P. promelas Chronic Survival - NOEC



Reference Tox Copper Nitrate ug/L

P. promelas Growth - NOEC



n= 20 Mean= 67.500 SD= 24.468 CV= 36.25% Min= 50.000 Max= 100.000

APPENDIX C CHAIN OF CUSTODY SHEETS

1156 NORTH BONNIE BRAE STREET DENTON, TX 76201 (940) 387-1025 • FAX (940) 387-1036 **HUTHER & ASSOCIATES**

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

PROJECT NAME Little ROCK- Adams Field PERMITH AR 00 2/8 06 PROJECT # 31778

OUTFALL SAMPLES

24-Hr Flow Weighted Composite X Other_

AFIN: 60-00409

					METHODS OF	METHODS OF COLLECTION AND COMPOSITE	OMPOSITE	
OUTFALL NUMBER	PERSON TAKING SAMPLE	START DATE/TIME	END DATE/TIME	# OF PORTIONS COMPOSITED	AUTO COLL. AUTO COMP.	MANUAL COLL. MANUAL COMP.	AUTO COLL. MANUAL COMP.	# OF CONTAINERS TO BE SHIPPED
005-004 AFFINALER	13 Second 8- 9- 2020 8-10-2020	8-9-2020	8-10-2020	61			×	
		-						

RECEIVING WATER SAMPLES

	TIME # OF CONTAINERS TO BE SHIPPED TO BE SHIPPED RECEIVING WATER HIKANSAS KIVEN	1110 J	EDELIVERED TO GREYHOUND BUS FOR SHIPMENT.	ME: RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME	TIME: RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME	TIME: RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME	Client Delivered Other	TIME: 1010		TIME:	TIME: /O(O
RECEIVING WALEN SAMPLES	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION (FOR REC'NG) PERSON TAKING DATE H,O GRABS, GIVE NAME OF STREAM AND SAMPLE LOCATION	080-004 ARRIVER-UPSTREW J. BUNC, 8-10-2020 10:54 AM		RELINQUISHED BY: A. B. WAY DATE: 8-10-3020 TIME:	RELINQUISHED BY: U DATE: DATE: T	RELINQUISHED BY: DATE: DATE: T	METHOD OF SHIPMENT: Greyhound Pick Up		RECEIVED: Dori Haiser DATE:	AST DACE I AD	1ST PAGE - LAB C

HUTHER & ASSOCIATES 1156 NORTH BONNIE BRAE STREET DENTON, TX 76201 (940) 387-1025 • FAX (940) 387-1036

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

PROJECT NAME Little Rock - Adams Field PROJECT # 31778

- PERMIT# ALDO 2 1806

OUTFALL SAMPLES

AFIN: 60-00409

Other	
24-Hr Flow Weighted Composite	

					METHODS OF	METHODS OF COLLECTION AND COMPOSITE	COMPOSITE	
OUTFALL NUMBER	PERSON TAKING SAMPLE	START DATE/TIME	END DATE/TIME	# OF PORTIONS COMPOSITED	AUTO COLL. AUTO COMP.	MANUAL COLL. MANUAL COMP.	AUTO COLL. MANUAL COMP.	# OF CONTAINERS TO BE SHIPPED
2005-005 AF FINAL		1. R.W. 8-11-2020 8-12-2020	0505-51-8	51			$\left \times \right $	
EFF.	>	*						

RECEIVING WATER SAMPLES

NEUEI	RECEIVING WALER SAMPLER	ILLES			
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION (FOR REC'NG) P H,O GRABS, GIVE NAME OF STREAM AND S LOCATION	PERSON TAKING SAMPLE	DATE	TIME	# OF CONTAINERS TO BE SHIPPED	TYPE OF TEST I day C/F NAME OF Ar Kansas River RECEIVING WATER Ar Kansas River
010-005 - RIVER SAMPLE UPSTREAM OF OUTFALL	J. Barl	8-12-2026 9:2540	9:25m	eutonorma)	DILUTION WATER USED FOR THIS TEST
r)				FOR SHIPMENT.
RELINQUISHED BY: BUR	DATE:	DATE: 8-/2-20 TIME:	TIME:	RECEIVED I	RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME
RELINQUISHED BY:	DATE:		TIME:	RECEIVED F	RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME
RELINQUISHED BY:	DATE:		TIME:	RECEIVED F	RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME
METHOD OF SHIPMENT: Greyhound	Pick Up		U U	Client Delivered	Other
RECEIVED: Mat N	Horner	DATE	8-13	DATE: 8-13-20 TIME: CALS	ALS SAMPLE TEMP. @ RECEIPT. 3.0
	1ST PAG	IST PAGE - LAB COPY		2ND PAGE - FACILITY COPY	TRI

HUTHER & ASSOCIATES 1156 NORTH BONNIE BRAE STREET DENTON, TX 76201 (940) 387-1025 • FAX (940) 387-1036

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

PROJECT NAME Little Rock - Adams Field PROJECT # 31778

- PERMIT# <u>AR 0021806</u>

AFIN: 60-00409

OUTFALL SAMPLES

24-Hr Flow Weighted Composite X____ Other__

					METHODS OF	METHODS OF COLLECTION AND COMPOSITE	OMPOSITE	
OUTFALL	PERSON TAKING SAMPLE	START DATE/TIME	END DATE/TIME	# OF PORTIONS COMPOSITED	AUTO COLL. AUTO COMP.	MANUAL COLL. MANUAL COMP.	AUTO COLL. MANUAL COMP.	# OF CONTAINERS TO BE SHIPPED
005-006 45 FINAL	A-Bm/.	0-00:01 v100:01 0-00:01 -2 000:01	2000:01	12			×	_
eft.	N							

RECEIVING WATER SAMPLES

			UCALVAL ALAUN						
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION (FOR REC'NG) H,O GRABS, GIVE NAME OF STREAM AND LOCATION		PERSON TAKING SAMPLE	DATE	ITIME		# OF CONTAINERS TO BE SHIPPED	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	TYPE OF TEST Iday C/F NAME OF AL Kansas Kiver	
080-006-RIVER SAMPLE UPSTREAM OF OUTFALL.	SAMPLE ALL.	J. Bux/	8-14-2	8-14-2020 8:52 AM	-Am	-	DILUT	DILUTION WATER USED	
	2						A C	DELIVERED TO GREYHOUND FOR SHIPMENT.	
TELINQUISHED BY:	But	PA	DATE: 8.14-	8.14-220 TIME:	- CD - ::	- RECEIVED B)	RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME .	TIME	
KELINQUISHED BY:		DA	DATE:	TIME:		- RECEIVED BY	RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME.	TIME	
LELINQUISHED BY:		DA	DATE:	TIME:		- RECEIVED BY	RECEIVED BY AT THIS DATE/TIME .	TIME	
AETHOD OF SHIPMENT: Greyhound	M punor	Pick	Pick Up		Client Delivered	'ered		Other	
teceived:	at the	Herner		DATE:	8-15-20 TIME: _	TIME	1000 SA	SAMPLE TEMP. @ RECEIPT. 2,5	
DMH 8-15-20		~-	IST PAGE - LAB COPY	۲	2ND PAG	2ND PAGE - FACILITY COPY		IRI	

Huther and Associates, Inc.

environmental toxicologists, biologists, and consultants

LITTLE ROCK WATER RECLAMATION AUTHORITY ADAMS FIELD WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY PERMIT NO. NPDES AR0021806 **OUTFALL 001 TEST DATE: 08/11/20** FOR NET DMR

1. Ceriodaphnia dubia	Response
a. If the NOEC for survival is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0". Parameter No. TLP3B.	0
b. Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP3B.	28%
c. Report the NOEC value for reproduction, Parameter No. TPP3B.	28%
d. If the NOEC for reproduction is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1";	
otherwise, enter a "0". Parameter No. TGP3B.	0
e. Report the higher coefficient of variation (critical dilution or control),	
Parameter No. TQP3B.	9.90%
11. Pimephales promelas	Response
a. If the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for survival is less	
than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0". Parameter No. TLP6C.	0
b. Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP6C.	28%
c. Report the NOEC value for growth, Parameter No. TPP6C.	28%
 d. If the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for growth is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0". Parameter No. TGP6C. 	0
e. Report the highest coefficient of variation (critical dilution or control) Parameter No. TQP6C.	5.77%
Ceriodaphnia dubia 22415 Retest Number 1 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
22416 Retest Number 2 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
51443 Retest Number 3 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
Pimephales promelas 22418 Retest Number 1 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
22419 Retest Number 2 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9
51444 Retest Number 3 (For 9: First column param. NODI pulldown menu, highlight "9	") 9

In comment box at bottom left: 9 = No retests required.